Medicine, Compassion, and Liberation: A Buddhist Hermeneutic

Venerable Dr. Zhiyun Cai
Stanford University

In Buddhist traditions, healing is tied to the larger ethical and spiritual task of relieving suffering. Buddhist texts often portray the Buddha as a physician who diagnoses the human condition and offers the Dharma, or teaching, as a remedy. Read together, texts such as the *Vinaya Pitaka*, the *Samyutta Nikaya*, the *Lotus Sutra* (*Saddharma Puṇḍarīka Sūtra*), and the *Medicine Buddha Sutra* suggest that healing is a practice of compassion, moral responsibility, and spiritual insight. Although these texts come from different Buddhist genres and traditions, they converge in treating healing as ethically serious and spiritually meaningful. A Buddhist hermeneutic of medicine therefore understands the saving of life as more than preserving the body alone. It treats care for the sick as an expression of compassion while also recognizing that the deepest forms of suffering require inner transformation as well as physical treatment.

The ethical force of this view appears clearly in the *Vinaya Pitaka*, where the Buddha finds a sick monk neglected by the monastic community, washes him, and instructs the other monks to care for the ill. In one of the best-known lines from this episode, the Buddha teaches that whoever would care for him should care for the sick. The passage makes caregiving a religious obligation and places the treatment of vulnerable bodies at the center of communal life. To serve the sick is, in this framework, to enact compassion in its most practical form. Medicine is thus part of Buddhist moral life and one of the clearest places where compassion becomes visible in action.

This connection between healing and compassion is developed further in traditions centered on the Medicine Buddha, Bhaiṣajyaguru, who represents the union of healing power, wisdom, and mercy. In that tradition, medicine also responds to the suffering of the mind and to the larger human condition of fear, attachment, and mortality. The figure of the Medicine Buddha therefore expands the meaning of care. Healing becomes an act that acknowledges the whole person rather than a narrow intervention aimed only at symptoms. Such a view gives religious depth to medical practice without reducing medicine to ritual alone.

In this sense, medicine and the saving of life embody the core Buddhist commitment to relieve suffering. They also reflect the principle of non-harming, or *ahiṃsā*, which places strong moral weight on the protection of life and the refusal to inflict injury. Physical illness can shape one's mental and spiritual condition, just as fear, craving, and confusion can deepen bodily suffering. A Buddhist understanding of healing therefore resists a narrow account of medicine as bodily repair alone. It invites a broader vision in which care attends to suffering as lived experience.

The medical dimension of Buddhist thought appears with particular clarity in the *Samyutta Nikaya*, where the Buddha's teaching can be understood through the logic of diagnosis and cure.

Just as a physician identifies an illness, discovers its cause, recognizes the possibility of recovery, and prescribes a treatment, the Buddha teaches the reality of suffering, its source, its cessation, and the path leading to its end. This structure echoes the Four Noble Truths. First comes the recognition of suffering, or *dukkha*. Second comes the identification of its cause in craving and attachment. Third comes the possibility that suffering can cease. Fourth comes the path of practice, especially the Noble Eightfold Path, by which that cessation becomes possible. Read in this way, Buddhist teaching presents the human condition itself as something requiring careful diagnosis. The deepest illness is ignorance and attachment, and the Dharma serves as the medicine that addresses that more profound source of suffering.

The *Lotus Sutra* extends this medical imagery in the parable of the skillful physician and his children. In that story, a father prepares medicine for children who have been poisoned but cannot immediately recognize either their danger or the value of the cure before them. The parable presents healing as more than the simple delivery of treatment. It raises questions of perception, trust, and readiness to receive what restores life. The physician's skill lies in finding a way to bring suffering people into relation with it. In Buddhist terms, the story suggests that human beings often resist the very truths that would heal them, and that wise compassion must therefore respond to both suffering and delusion.

From this perspective, life is precious because it is fragile and impermanent. Saving life matters because it relieves immediate suffering and honors the moral worth of vulnerable beings. Yet Buddhist texts also insist that physical healing, though deeply important, is never the whole of medicine. Suffering cannot finally be overcome by bodily treatment alone. A Buddhist hermeneutic of medicine therefore understands healing as a compassionate practice that addresses pain at several levels at once: bodily, emotional, moral, and spiritual. It calls caregivers to preserve life, relieve suffering, and recognize that the fullest meaning of healing lies in the wisdom and compassion that accompany human beings through illness and toward liberation.