The Effects of India's 1991 Balance of Payments Crisis

Alisha Srivastava

Introduction

Although today India can be identified as a nation with one of the fastest-growing global economies, this was not always the case (Kotwal, et al.). Before India's liberalization of 1991, the economy faced several problems, including risks of currency collapse, fiscal profligacy, inflated prices, and a decline in net receipts, which exacerbated into a final balance of payments crisis that threatened the collapse of the Indian economy (Krishnaswamy and Kanagasabapathy). This paper seeks to examine how the economic liberalization following the 1991 crisis reshaped India's economy and society. Specifically, it aims to explore how policy changes influenced technological advancement, economic productivity, and social transformation. The balance of payments crisis of India in 1991 led to significant economic reform and growth as well as a drastic change in society and culture.

The 1991 Reforms and Their Impact

Economic Reforms and Liberalization

The 1990-91 Indian economy was also threatened by a liquidity crisis caused by the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Gulf War, and political uncertainty. The crisis led to restricted imports, a decline in foreign confidence, and outflows of deposits of non-resident Indians with Indian banks (Kumar). India had a high dependence on both oil and gold imports but continued to have relatively poor export competitiveness, leading to a widening trade deficit, dwindling foreign exchange reserves, and a weakened currency (Krishnaswamy and Kanagasabapathy). These pressures forced India to implement stabilization and structural changes to prevent financial collapse.

The Indian government made a systemic shift to a more open economy that abolished state monopoly in all sectors, deregulated the financial system while enhancing the supervisory and regulatory systems, signaled a greater reliance upon market forces and the private sector, and introduced policies that favored privatization as well as foreign direct investments (Sharma). The major areas covered by the reform programs were fiscal deficit reductions, industrial and agricultural policies, infrastructure and financial developments, and social sector growth

(Ahluwalia).

Result of the 1991 Reforms on the Indian Economy

Currency and Trade Liberalization

Changes set in place by the economic reforms include the rupee being sharply devalued by about 20 percent and made fully convertible on the current account, licensing being abolished for imports and tariff levels getting drastically cut, the abolishing of the entire edifice of industrial licensing, the falling of net invisible accounts, and antitrust legislation amending for expansion and diversification of capacity by large firms (Nayar). Due to specific economic reforms, robust exports of food and capital goods, garments, engineering tools, and refined petroleum also contributed to India's development (Kotwal, et al.).

Industrial and Sectoral Reforms

In the pre-1991 policy regime, eighteen important industries, including iron and steel, heavy plant and machinery, telecommunications and telecom equipment, mineral oils, mining of various ores, air transport services, and electricity generation and distribution, were reserved for the public sector (Kotwal, et al.). The reform process had led to increased access to superior technology in the manufacturing sector through higher foreign participation, as well as greater access to importation of higher quality raw materials and capital equipment. It was therefore concluded that there was a “corresponding increase in efficiency to convert technological processes into productivity growths” (Saravanakumar and Kim).

Trickle-Down Effects and Economic Redistribution

In addition, the sectors that absorbed new technology after the reforms benefited directly, and the “increased incomes of those employed in the organized sectors spilled into demand for those in the unorganized sectors” (Kotwal, et al.). The strength of a “trickle-down” effect from the technology sector depends on the income elasticity of the relatively unorganized sectors of goods and services (Kotwal, et al.). For example, the parts of the unorganized sector in which the income elasticity is relatively high, such as trade, construction, or transportation, would grow fast and continue to grow as demand increased.

Trade Policy and Market Competitiveness

Substantial progress was also made in phasing out remaining quantitative restrictions on agricultural, textile, and industrial processes (Sharma). Efficient firms drove out inefficient firms, factors got reallocated to more productive use, and the overall productivity of factors in the economy increased due to sector transfers (Kotwal, et al.). Productivity in the industry sector also grew rapidly and attracted labor from agriculture due to the relocation of agriculture to more productive sectors.

Investment licensing was used to impose restrictions on the domestic market, requiring both incumbents and potential newcomers to get central government approval before investing. Additionally, "large" industrial organizations were prohibited from expanding without first obtaining approval. To shield them from competition from large-scale units, several industry categories were "reserved" for production by small-scale units (Kotwal, et al.). Price and distribution controls were often applied to industries such as steel, cement, fertilizers, petroleum, and pharmaceuticals (Kotwal, et al.).

Globalization and Foreign Investment

Furthermore, the new structural adjustment program adopted by India had been heavily involved with reforming trade policy regimes. Post-1991, the import licensing system had been dismantled, and all non-tariff barriers had been phased out from all the tradable consumer goods, bringing the peak of tariff rates down to a maximum of 50% rather than the previous 355% (Kumar). The progressive decline in the average tariff rates was applicable due to the new trade agreements that had stated tariff rates would be lowered on most commodities. Despite this, however, India has been continuing to liberalize trade with South Asia at a faster rate than all trade (Kumar).

The trade policy of 1991 was targeted at the breaking down of administrative controls and barriers, which had functioned as obstacles to the free flow of exports and imports. The import licensing system was dismantled and the market was opened to foreigners through the lowering of barriers through investment policies (Saravanakumar and Kim). The trade regime as well as the regulation framework were liberalized, and "import liberalization took over large spectrums of foods. Industrial licensing was abolished for all industries, except for a few, regardless of levels of investment" (Saravanakumar and Kim). Large foreign-controlled companies were also abolished and the domestic capital market was restricted with the liberalization of interest rates (Nayar).

Economic Outcomes and Future Prospects

India has made an economic shift from the policies in place pre-1991 and is now emerging with sector reforms as a leader in several areas. Due to the economic reforms, India has had opportunities to prosper, and has "built communication satellites and successfully launched them, one of the best computer softwares in the world, and its telecommunication technology has successfully reached the most remote rural areas and it has a strong army too" (Naidu). The government has given a firm monument to the IMF, The International Monetary Fund, to implement economic reforms and invite foreign capital for investments as well as to open areas of the Indian market for foreign multinational corporations.

The adoption of economic policies has made an effort to reduce poverty and unemployment in order to build a modern, democratic, socialist, and forward-looking India (Naidu). The corporate sector has also continued to have emerging trends following the reforms. There has been significant restructuring and consolidation of the corporate sector, which has caused increased emphasis on quality upgradation, non-price rivalry, and product differentiation (Kumar).

Result of the 1991 Reforms on Indian People and Society

The process of globalization is defined as including the "opening up of world interactions, developing of advanced means of communication, growing importance of multinational corporations, and increasing mobility of persons goods, capital, data, and ideas" (Naidu). It deals with economic, political, social, and religious aspects of any country and allows the ultimate goals of the economic development of the country and the wellbeing of its people to be achieved. Globalization and reforms are not only needed for their own sake, but also "for the sake of India's people and in the interest of having them both contribute to the growth process and benefit from it" (Saravanakumar and Kim).

The economic reforms benefited many aspects of society, including an improvement in the country's persistent poverty issue. A study on how India's GDP growth has accompanied poverty declines shows that "the proportion of the population below the poverty line declined from about 44.5 percent in 1983-84 to 27.5 percent in 2004-05" (Kotwal, et. al). Another indicates that "poverty levels have fallen from about 40 percent of the population to roughly 28 percent during the second half of the 1990s. This translates into a net reduction in rural poverty of some 60 million people between 1993 and 2000" (Sharma). During this period, India's GDP growth rate accelerated from an average of 3.5% per year in the pre-liberalization era (1950-1980) to around 6% in the 1990s and exceeded 8% in the mid-2000s. This economic expansion significantly outpaced previous decades and contributed to a higher standard of living, increased employment opportunities, and improved access to essential services, further reinforcing the connection between growth and poverty reduction (Sharma).

In an effort for globalization to also benefit those who are resourceless and those who make livelihood through traditional production activities, emphasis of education had been put in place. It was suggested that India needs to "educate people about the nature and implications of globalization and its different kinds of impact on different sections of Indian populations and the need to formulate popular strategies to influence state policies" (Naidu). In order to complete this however, there was a need to diminish illiteracy, develop educational facilities, and subject young people to training according to new globalization trends. However, the rapid technological advancements introduced during this period created a digital divide, where urban populations and wealthier groups had greater access to the internet, mobile technology, and digital banking, while rural and lower-income populations faced significant barriers due to limited infrastructure and affordability (Naidu). Efforts to bridge this gap, such as initiatives to expand internet access and digital literacy programs, helped lessen some disparities but did not fully eliminate them.

In addition to poverty and education, the agricultural labor force has experienced positive changes due to the moving of labor to a sector with higher productivity, which has allowed for a major source of overall growth in the economy through savings, investments, and employment (Kotwal, et. al). However, while globalization and technological advances led to improvements in efficiency and mechanization in agriculture, they also disrupted traditional industries such as handicrafts, small-scale textile production, and local trades. Many smaller businesses struggled to compete with mass-produced goods and multinational corporations, leading to economic displacement for workers in these sectors. At the same time, industries such as IT, telecommunications, and outsourced services boomed, creating new employment opportunities that attracted younger generations away from traditional occupations (Kotwal, et. al).

Due to globalization, transformations occurred, such as nation states to networks, traditions to options, export-led to consumer-driven, farms to super cities, labor-intensive to high tech, and male dominance to emerging women (Naidu). The changes are not only limited to India, but are instead world trends that impact the whole of Asia, especially the people of India (Naidu). Globalization is considered to be a multi-disciplinary character, and its impact is felt upon every walks of life, from individuals to institutions. It has developed virtually across all areas of human activity, goods, capital, people, knowledge, communications, and weapons despite boundaries to become a fundamentally interconnected global order, marked by intense possibilities and processes (Saravanakumar and Kim).

India's globalization, through using different interpretations, technological innovations, and employment opportunities, converted people into a "global village," an experience that is not remote or removed from the lives of the people of India (Naidu). In fact, to many, "it represents a brave new world with no barriers" (Naidu). Through the globalization of the Indian economy, there has been more power given to the Indian people, ranging from communication aspects to travel and tourism (Saravanakumar and Kim). However, the impact was not uniform across all social groups. Urban middle-class professionals benefited from high-paying jobs in IT and finance, while rural communities and lower-income workers often faced challenges adapting to the fast-changing economic landscape. The rise of digital technology also reshaped social interactions, making communication faster and more accessible but also creating generational gaps in technology adoption (Naidu).

Limitations of This Study

While this paper highlights the broad impact of the 1991 economic reforms, there are limitations to consider. First, the long-term effects of liberalization on income inequality require further examination. While macroeconomic indicators show significant economic growth, the distribution of wealth remains uneven, and the extent to which lower-income groups have benefited requires deeper analysis. In addition, while India’s economic liberalization has been widely studied, comparisons with other developing economies undergoing similar reforms could offer a broader perspective on the effectiveness of these policies.

Second, while technological progress has been substantial, the extent to which rural areas and lower-income groups have benefited remains a subject of debate. The digital divide continues to be a major issue, with access to technology and internet infrastructure still being limited in many parts of the country. Future research could focus on the role of government policies in bridging this gap.

Third, this study focuses on macroeconomic indicators but does not fully capture microeconomic experiences at the household level. A more comprehensive approach incorporating qualitative data, such as household surveys and individual case studies, would provide a greater understanding of how economic liberalization affected different segments of society.

Conclusion

In 1991, India made the momentous decision to change its policies in order to combat threats to its economy and people. It embarked on a comprehensive reform of the economy to “widen and deepen its integration with the world as a part of structural adjustment” (Kumar). The economic gradualism and policy changes of 1991 were a means to achieve the ultimate goal of both increased economic development for the country and an improved well-being of the Indian people, and allowed India to become one of the “the fastest growing developing countries” (Ahluwalia).

India's economic liberalization initiative had finally conquered the problems that had accompanied the country, despite its divided and gradual implementation. Indian society has undergone a significant transformation as a result of the cultural changes brought about by urbanization and globalization. Government-formulated and -implemented economic policies have also been crucial in determining the levels of income, savings, investments, and employment in society (Naidu). As a result of the numerous new sectors where global developments are happening, India's economy and population may now be more fully integrated.

India's 1991 balance of payments crisis marked a turning point in the country’s economic trajectory. The liberalization reforms that followed led to rapid GDP growth, increased technological adoption, and significant social transformation. Along with their effects on the economy, globalization's reform initiatives have also had an impact on people's social and cultural lives in a number of aspects of Indian society. Through the momentum of reform and reviving growth despite crises, India sparked an economic and social revolution that continues its influence today. The crisis resulted in considerable economic development and reform as well as a fundamental transformation of society and culture.

However, these changes also introduced new challenges, including economic disparities and shifts in employment patterns. By studying India's post-liberalization experience, other developing economies can learn valuable lessons about balancing economic growth with social equity. Future studies could analyze whether the Indian model is replicable in other contexts and what lessons can be drawn for policy formulation in emerging markets. Moving forward, India should continue to address digital inclusivity and economic distribution to ensure that the benefits of liberalization continue to reach all sections of society.

References

Ahluwalia, Montek S. "Economic Reforms in India since 1991: Has Gradualism Worked?" *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2002, pp. 67–88. *JSTOR,* http://www.jstor.org/stable/3216951.

Kotwal, Ashok, et al. "Economic Liberalization and Indian Economic Growth: What's the Evidence?" *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. 49, no. 4, Dec. 2011, pp. 1152–1199. *JSTOR*, http://www.jstor.org/stable/23071665.

Krishnaswamy, R., and K. Kanagasabapathy. "The Balance of Payments Situation: 1991 and Now." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 48, no. 33, 17 Aug. 2013, pp. 73–76. *JSTOR,* http://www.jstor.org/stable/23528094.

Kumar, Nagesh. "Economic Reforms and Their Macro-Economic Impact." *Economic and Political Weekly,* vol. 35, no. 10, 4 Mar. 2000, pp. 803–812. *JSTOR,* http://www.jstor.org/stable/4408992.

Naidu, Y. Gurappa. "Globalisation And Its Impact On Indian Society." *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 67, no. 1, 2006, pp. 65–76. *JSTOR,* http://www.jstor.org/stable/41856193.

Nayar, Baldev Raj. "Political Structure and India's Economic Reforms of the 1990s." *Pacific Affairs,* vol. 71, no. 3, 1998, pp. 335–358. *JSTOR*, https://doi.org/10.2307/2761414.

Saravanakumar, Muthusamy, and Taegi Kim. "The Impacts Of Economic Reforms On Efficiency Improvement And Technological Progress In Indian Manufacturing." *The Journal of Developing Areas*, vol. 46, no. 1, 2012, pp. 315–29. *JSTOR*, http://www.jstor.org/stable/23215436.

Sharma, Shalendra D. "India's Economic Liberalization: A Progress Report." *Current History,* vol. 102, no. 663, Apr. 2003, pp. 176–79. *JSTOR*, http://www.jstor.org/stable/45317264.